

APPENDIX

CHRONOLOGY OF ORE 41-49

Mon., 17 Jan.	Conference with Mr. Halaby, OSD, regarding definition of the problem.
Wed., 26 Jan.	General discussion of the subject with ORE Branch Chiefs.
Mon., 31 Jan.	A statement of the problem, based on a copy of a draft letter of request from OSD, sent to ORE Branches, with a request for contributions, and to departmental agencies, with a request for the designation of representatives.
Tues., 1 Feb.	<p>AM General discussion of the subject with the Director, OIR, and eight members of his Office corresponding approximately to the six Branch Chiefs of ORE. (Nothing was developed in this discussion notably different from the ORE discussion on 26 Jan.)</p> <p>PM ORE Branch contributions received (some being of slight utility).</p> <p>Night (to midnight). Drafting by G/GS.</p>
Wed., 2 Feb.	Drafting by G/GS (0830 to 0200, 3 Feb.).
Thurs., 3 Feb.	Reproduction and distribution of first draft (completed at about noon).
Fri., 4 Feb.	<p>AM Coordination with ORE Branches.</p> <p>PM Meeting with Agency representatives.</p>
Sat., 5 Feb.	Revision of text to meet comments of Agency representatives (1000 to 1630).
Mon., 7 Feb.	<p>Coordination of changes within ORE (to 1000).</p> <p>Reproduction of revised text (1000 to 1630).</p> <p>Submission of revised text to Agency representatives to ensure complete agreement prior to final submission for formal action.</p>
Tues., 8 Feb.	Received concurrence from ID and AID representatives, suggested rephrasing from OIR (mainly concerning issues not raised on 4 Feb.).
Wed., 9 Feb.	<p>AM Drafted and coordinated within ORE revisions designed to satisfy OIR without altering substantive import of existing text.</p>

PM Went to OIR and presented counter-proposals, which were accepted.

Thurs., 10 Feb. PM (1530 to 1730) Met with representatives of ONI (who had professed inability to meet earlier) and discussed 22 revisions of the text proposed by them. All proposals admittedly editorial rather than substantive. Eighteen rejected as detrimental to text, four accepted as harmless.

Fri., 11 Feb. Revised text reproduced and submitted to IAC agencies for formal action, with reasonable assurance of prompt concurrence in each case.

Tues., 15 Feb. OIR and ID concurred.

Wed., 16 Feb. ONI concurred. Notice of impending AID dissent.

Thurs., 17Feb. Conference with AID (1130 to 1430) regarding issues raised only after receipt of 10 Feb. draft (on points present in previous drafts). Certain editorial revisions of text, without change in substance, tentatively agreed upon. One proposed change rejected as obscuring a proper issue for clearcut concurrence or dissent.

Fri., 18 Feb. AM Changes tentatively accepted to placate AID cleared with ONI and ID. AID called upon to present clearcut concurrence or dissent on remaining disputed passage.  
PM AID concurred.